

United States Patent and Trademark Office

F	١
1	1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,456	01/31/2001	Michael J. Novak	MS1-728US	MS1-728US 7082	
22801	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			HANNE, SARA M		
SPOKANE, WA 99201				PAPER NUMBER	
			2179		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/773,45	6	NOVAK ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Sara M. H	anne	2179	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicationr Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the day patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and will statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATI nt, however, may a reply be l expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cation to become ABANDO	ON. In timely filed Tom the mailing date of this of the control	
Status					
• •	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is no llowance except	for formal matters,		e merits is
Diamoniti	on of Claims	,			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 67-72 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 67-72 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as on Papers The specification is objected to by the Example of Example of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the example of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be objected t	and/or election reaminer. accepted or b) to the drawing(s) become content or a content of the drawing(s) become content or a content of the drawing(s) become content or a content of the drawing(s) become content or a content	equirement. objected to by the held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	
-	•	TIE EXAMINIET. NO	te the attached On	ice Action of form 1	10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendment received 8/4/05. The Claims 67-72 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 67-72 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Searle et al., US Patent 6778992, hereinafter Searle.

As to claims 67 and 72, Searle teaches defining a sub view using XML data structure (Col. 6, line 18), each sub view corresponding to a subsection within a skin that can be hidden (Col. 4, line 54) and defining multiple visible regions, individual visible regions associated with a visible region and having a attribute (Col. 4, line 40 et seq.), defining a tree structure with multiple nodes, each node associated with a visible region having an attribute, some of the attributes being changeable by a user interaction with a visible region (Col. 4, lines 48-51), recalculating a visible region for a node responsive to a user

Application/Control Number: 09/773,456

Art Unit: 2179

induced attribute change for the visible region (less/more), recalculating a visible region associated with a parent node of the node (Figure 4 and corresponding text) and after recalculating, re-rendering a skin associated with the tree structure (faceplate, Col. 9, lines 6 et seq.).

As to claim 68, Searle teaches defining a subview using an XML data structure (Col. 6, line 18).

As to claim 69, Searle teaches summing multiple visible regions (the whole is equal to the sum of it's parts).

As to claim 70, re-rendering takes place at runtime (Col. 9, line 60-65).

As to claim 71, defining of the tree structure at runtime (Col. 9, line 13 et. seq.).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the argument that Searle fails to teach all of the limitations in Claims 67 and 72, the examiner disagrees. Specifically in reference to the tree structure, the examiner would like to point out that Searle teaches a tree structure (hierarchy) having multiple nodes (Fig. 4) each node associated with a visible region (each node is associated with user interface features which are visible regions) and having one or more attributes (components), some of the attributes being changeable by a user interaction with a visible region (Col. 4, lines 48-51).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar skinned interfaces and hierarchical audio displays.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M. Hanne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WEILUN LO can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,456

Art Unit: 2179

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smh